UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEAN E. BERGSTRESSER,

CV: 00-1713

00-CV-

Petitioner,

ROBERT SHANNON, Superintendent, SCI Mahanoy; DISTRICT ATTORNEY

CIVIL ACTION NO.

v.

of Potter County; and the ATTORNEY

SCRANTON

FILED

SEP 2 6 2000

Respondents.

Name of Petitioner: Dean E. Bergstresser

Prisoner No.: DE-5044

GENERAL of Pennsylvania,

Place of Confinement: SCI Mahanoy, Frackville, PA

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

- Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction under attack. Court of Common Pleas, Potter County, Pennsylvania; Coudersport, PA (John B. Leete, J.), Crim. Div. No. 67 of 1996.
- Date of judgment of conviction. Sentence imposed February 5, 1997; Judgment signed and filed June 25, 1997, upon denial of post-sentence motions. Verdicts returned December 13, 1996.
- Nature of offenses involved (all counts) Convicted of: involuntary manslaughter, 18 Pa.C.S. § 2504; aggravated assault, 18 Pa.C.S. § 2702(a)(1); reckless endangerment, 18 Pa.C.S. § 2705; homicide by vehicle, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3732; homicide by vehicle while driving under the influence of alcohol, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3735(a); driving under the influence of alcohol, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3731(a)(1),(4),(5)(i); limitation on backing, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3702(a). Acquitted of higher degrees of homicide, 18 Pa.C.S. §§ 2501-2503.
- Length of Sentence. Eight to seventeen years' imprisonment, consisting of five to ten years for aggravated assault, and three to seven years consecutive for homicide by vehicle while driving under the influence of alcohol; plus costs of the proceedings and restitution of \$2810. Defendant was further sentenced to pay a \$25 fine plus costs on the traffic offense, to attend safe driving school, and to receive substance abuse counseling. No sentences on merged counts (involuntary manslaughter, reckless endangerment, homicide by vehicle, driving under the influence, and unlawful backing up).

- 5. What was your plea? Not Guilty
- 6. Kind of trial. Trial by jury of twelve.
- 7. Did you testify at the trial? No
- 8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes
- 9. If you did appeal, answer the following:
 - (a) Name of court: Superior Court of Pennsylvania.
- (b) Result: Affirmed, No. 1583 PGH 97, noted at 734 A.2d 432 (table)
- (c) Date of result: Nov. 17, 1998 (reargument denied, Jan. 28, 1999), <u>all. app. denied</u>, 559 Pa. 687, 739 A.2d 1054 (June 24, 1999) (No. 157 W.D.Alloc. 1999)
- 10. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications or other motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal? No.
- 11. If your answer to 10 was "yes," give the following information: D/N/A
- 12. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully.
- A. Ground one: Petitioner has been and is being deprived of his liberty in violation of the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, as the evidence at trial was insufficient to convict him of the offense of vehicular homicide while DUI, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3735(a).

Supporting FACTS: After fighting in a bar with the decedent, his girlfriend, petitioner drove off in his truck with the girlfriend as a passenger. Both were intoxicated. decedent exited the truck as it was moving, landing head first on the pavement. Petitioner returned the short distance to the spot where his girlfriend had fallen, driving the truck slowly in reverse. Somehow (whether intentionally, recklessly, or accidentally was at the heart of the trial), a rear wheel of the truck ran over the decedent as it was backing. After stepping out of the truck to try to see what was going on, petitioner then got back in. He shifted to a forward gear, and the same wheel ran over the decedent again. The evidence did not show beyond a reasonable doubt that the decedent's death was "the result of a violation of section 3731 [of title 75, Pa.C.S.] (relating to driving under the influence of alcohol or controlled substance), " nor that petitioner's § 3731 violation

was "the cause of death," both of which are elements of the offense under § 3735(a).

B. Ground two: Petitioner has been and is being deprived of his liberty in violation of the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, incorporating the double jeopardy clause of the Fifth Amendment, by the separate convictions and consecutive sentences on aggravated assault, 18 Pa.C.S. § 2702(a)(1), and vehicular homicide while DUI, 75 Pa.C.S. § 3735(a). The conviction and sentence on the "lesser" offense, aggravated assault, must be vacated.

Supporting FACTS: At trial, petitioner was convicted of both aggravated assault and vehicular homicide while DUI, and at sentencing received consecutive terms of imprisonment on these counts. Aggravated assault, in the form in which petitioner must have been convicted of it, is the "same offense" (that is, a lesser included offense), for double jeopardy purposes, as involuntary manslaughter (as assault is of any degree of homicide when the death of the victim results), which in turn (as the trial court recognized) is a lesser included offense of vehicular homicide while DUI.

C. Ground three: Petitioner has been and is being deprived of his liberty in violation of the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, as the jury's verdict convicting him of aggravated assault, 18 Pa.C.S. § 2702(a)(1), is logically inconsistent with the same jury's verdict convicting him of involuntary manslaughter under 18 Pa.C.S. § 2504.

Supporting FACTS: At trial, petitioner was convicted of both aggravated assault and involuntary manslaughter. Malice is an element of the assault offense under § 2702(a)(1) ("recklessly under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life"), while involuntary manslaughter under § 2504 is defined, in pertinent part, as the killing of another person without malice.

D. Ground four: Petitioner did not enjoy the effective assistance of counsel at trial, because his attorney failed to satisfy state law requirements for the introduction of evidence of the victim's level of intoxication.

Supporting FACTS: The decedent's blood alcohol level at the time of her death was at least .20, significantly higher than petitioner's. Defense counsel briefly attempted to introduce this fact into evidence, but failed to accomplish his goal due to lack of familiarity with controlling state case law on the prerequisites and procedure for doing so. Had the jury known this critical information, there is a reasonable probability that one or more jurors would have entertained at least a reasonable doubt as to whether the cause of death was the decedent's own act of attempting to exit a moving vehicle, rather than petitioner's act of backing up his truck to return to the scene of where she fell.

E. Ground five: Petitioner did not enjoy the effective assistance of counsel at trial, when his attorney failed to object to the presentation of testimony at trial by the elected District Attorney of the County, commenting adversely on petitioner's credibility, implying the existence of facts not in evidence, and vouching for the reliability of the Commonwealth's investigation of the matter.

Supporting FACTS: The District Attorney of Potter County personally went to the hospital on the night of the incident (March 17, 1996) and interviewed petitioner after administering Miranda warnings. At trial, under examination by his assistant D.A. and after being identified as the District Attorney, the witness testified that he was already "somewhat familiar with Mr. Bergstresser," that he "didn't believe from ... what I had learned from the coroner that the accident happened the way Mr. Bergstresser said it did," and that "to me it didn't ring true what he was telling." He added that "We certainly don't file those charges [including first degree murder] lightly. It was only after substantial investigation that the charges were in fact filed." Defense counsel failed to object or to move to strike any of this testimony.

- 13. If any of the grounds listed in paragraphs 12A, B, C, D and E were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, state briefly what grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them. All claims were previously presented on direct appeal.
- 14. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the judgment under attack? No.
- 15. Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacked herein:
- (a) At preliminary hearing Joseph Marasco, Esq. Friedman and Marasco 502 Hooker-Fulton Bldg. Bradford, PA 16701
 - (b) At arraignment and plea same
 - (c) At trial: same
 - (d) At sentencing same

(e) On appeal.
John C. Dowling, Esq.
James H. Cawley, Esq.
Rhoads & Sinon LLP
Dauphin Bank Bldg., 12th Fl.
One South Market Square
P.O. Box 1146
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1146

- (f) In any post-conviction proceeding: D/N/A
- (g) On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding: D/N/A
- 16. Were you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at approximately the same time? Yes.
- 17. Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack? No.

WHEREFORE, petitioner prays that the Court:

- (1) Enter an order directing the respondent to show cause before this Court within twenty days why a Writ of Habeas Corpus should not be issued;
- (2) After full consideration on the merits, issue the writ of habeas corpus, releasing him from the respondents' custody unless the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania vacates his convictions or grants him a new trial thereon; and
- (3) Grant petitioner such other or further relief as law and justice require.

Dated: September 19, 2000

JOHN C. DOWLING
JAMES H. CAWLEY
RHOADS & SINON LLP
Dauphin Bank Bldg., 12th F1.
One South Market Square
P.O. Box 1146
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1146

Respectfully submitted,

By: PETER GOLDBERGER

50 Rittenhouse Place Ardmore, PA 19003-2276

(610) 649-8200

Attorneys for Petitioner

be supplied

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on .

Petitioner

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

EIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the Other Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVENSE OF THE CORM.)

of the Clerk of Court for the	purpose of initiating the (civil docket sneet	. (SÉE INS	INDUITORS ON THE HE	VERSE OF THE FORM.)	iber 1974, is required for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
DEAN E. BERGSTRESSER (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF POTTEY (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				SUPERINTENDENT, SCI MAHANOY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF POTTER COUNTY, and ATTORNI GENERAL OF PENNSYLVANIA			
				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF	FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT	•	
				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST USTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED			
Peter Goldberger				Harrisburg,	* <u>_</u>	Cler course,	
Law Office of Peter Goldberger 50 Rittenhouse Place					0 - 17	/ 1 3	
- Ardmore, PA	19003						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OCTION (PLACE AN ')	K" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		IZENSHIP OF PRIN Diversity Cases Only)	CIPAL PARTIES (P)	LACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAIN AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government	XD⊘ Federal Question			and the second	TF DEF	PTF D	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Governme	ent Not a Party)		ilizer of this state		or Principal Place Q 4 C s In This State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			C	itizen of Another State □		and Principal Place 5 5 s in Another State	
			C	itizen or Subject of a 🖂 Foreign Country	3 D3 Foreign Natio	on 6 C	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE	E BOX ONLY)	<u> </u>				
CONTRACT	то	RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 insurance ☐ 120 Manne ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negonable instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL II 362 Personal in Med. Maip: 365 Personal in	iury — ractice jury —	C 610 Agriculture C 620 Other Food & Drug C 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	1 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 28 USC 157	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rateszetc.	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Lia	- 1	☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Exc) Veterans)	330 Federal Employers uability 340 Manne 345 Manne Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	OPERTY	☐ 650 Arrine Regs ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other	820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Tracemark	Comupt Organizations B10 Selective Service B50 Secunties/Commodities/ Exchange	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	□ ability □ 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Len	raing (LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
☐ 160 Stockholders Suits ☐ 190 Other Comract	355 Motor Venicre Product Hability	Property Da	amage	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HiA (1395H)	☐ 891 Agricultural Acis ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Ad	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Lia		Act 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	[7] 893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PE		☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Trite XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	895 Freedom of Information Act	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motions to Sentence HABEAS CORF		& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	900 Appeal of Fee Determinat	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Tons to Land		X S 530 General ☐ 535 Death Pena		☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
245 Ton Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus	& Other	☐ 791 Emp! Ret Inc	D 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
		□ 555 Prison Cor		Security Act	26 USC 7609		
			4N "X" IN Reinsta Reopei		district 🗆 6 Multidisti		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO				LING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEME			
	DO NOT CITE ILIDISDIC	TIONAL STATISTES III	NI ECC ON/EC	CITY		State Custody	
28 USC 2254	Petition for	r Writ of	Hab∈	as Corpus by	a serson ru	State Custody.	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER F.R.C.P. 2		TION Re	DEMAND \$		only if demanded in complai AND: D YES X番NO	
VIII.RELATED CASE	(S) (See instructions): JI	UDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 9/21/2	000	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY C	oldly			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY							